

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,387	12/27/2004	Zeev Maor	1268-170U	6945
22429 7590 09/20/2007 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			FRAZIER, BARBARA S	
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/519,387	MAOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara Frazier	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status -						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
,=	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•					
		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '				
•	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of Idini PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	•	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	4				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
		·				
Attachment(s)	,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acon repriorited				

DETAILED ACTION

Non-statutory Subject Matter

Applicants are advised that claim 14, as written, is drawn to non-statutory subject matter. Upon amendment of the claim to recite statutory subject matter, the claim will be examined with the existing group (i.e., claims 1-13) or restricted as being drawn to a patentably distinct invention, as deemed appropriate.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The cosmetic compositions of claim 1, wherein there is an exact choice for each of the following:

- a) a single specific magnetic particle; representative examples are recited in claims 3 and
 4.
- b) a single disclosed form of the composition; representative examples are recited in claims 5, 6, and 13.
- c) the presence or absence of an additional additive. If an additional additive is present, Applicants must provide an exact choice for the additional additive; representative examples are recited in claim 8.

Art Unit: 1609

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-13.
- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claims recite a multiplicity of different magnetic particles (e.g., iron vs. samarium), different forms (e.g., dermatological anhydrous pastes vs. hair conditioning mask), and different compositions with or without additives (e.g., with or without a chemotherapeutic agent), such that the specific combinations of each of the components creates compositions of such different activities (e.g., iron with a chemotherapeutic agent as a dermatological anhydrous paste vs. samarium without a chemotherapeutic agent as a hair conditioning mask) that the compositions lack the same or corresponding technical features and thus do not relate to a single general inventive concept.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1609

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara Frazier whose telephone number is (571)270-3496. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-4pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,387

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BSF

Page 5